Davis, S. H., "Convection in a Box: Linear Theory," J. Fluid Mech., 30, 465 (1967).

Denny, V. E., and R. M. Clever, "Comparisons of Galerkin and Finite Difference Methods for Solving Highly Nonlinear Thermally Driven Flows." I. Comput. Phys., 16, 271 (1974).

Thermally Driven Flows," J. Comput. Phys., 16, 271 (1974). Mallinson, G. D., and G. deVahl Davis, "The Method of the False Transient for the Solution of Coupled Elliptic Equations," ibid., 12, 435 (1973).

Box: A Numerical Study," Rept. FMT 1975/8, School of Mech. and Ind. Eng., Univ. New South Wales, Sydney (Dec. 1975).

Ozoe, H., "Hydrodynamic Stability of a Non-Newtonian Fluid-Heated from Below," Ph.D. thesis, Univ. Pa., Philadelphia

———, H. Sayama, and S. W. Churchill, "Natural Convection in an Inclined Square Channel," *Intern. J. Heat Mass Transfer*, 17, 401 (1974).

——, "Natural Convection in an Inclined Rectangular

Channel at Various Aspect Ratios and Angles—Experimental Measurements," ibid., 18, 1425 (1975).

clined Rectangular Box Heated from Below; Part II. Three-Dimensional Numerical Results," Intern. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 20, 131 (1977).

Samuels, M. R., and S. W. Churchill, "Stability of a Fluid in a Rectangular Region Heated from Below," AIChE J., 13, 77 (1967).

Schoenherr, R. V., and S. W. Churchill, "The Use of Extrapolation for the Solution of Heat Transfer Problems by Finite Difference Methods," J. Heat Transfer, Trans. ASME, 92C, 564 (1970).

Manuscript received January 17, 1977; revision received August 19, 1977; second revision received as R & D Note April 20, 1978; revision received August 25 and accepted September 7, 1978.

# A Method of Predicting Diffusion Coefficients of Solutes at Infinite Dilution

R. F. FEDORS

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91103

The prediction of the diffusion coefficient of solutes in solution has been the subject of numerous studies and several equations which allow the coefficient to be estimated have been proposed (Reid et al., 1977). A recent report by Sridhar and Potter (1977) proposes two equations, one for liquid-liquid systems and another for gas-liquid systems.

A simpler relationship has been found between the limiting diffusion coefficient of the solute and the self-diffusion coefficient of the solvent, which is given by the symmetrical relationship

$$D^{0}_{21}(V_{2}^{*} - \overline{v}_{2}^{0})^{1/2} = D_{11}(V_{1}^{*} - v_{1})^{1/2}$$
 (1)

where  $D^0_{21}$  and  $D_{11}$  are the limiting diffusion coefficient of the solute at infinite dilution and the self-diffusion coefficient of the solvent, respectively,  $V_2^{\bullet}$  and  $V_1^{\bullet}$  are the volumes at the critical temperature for the solute and solvent, respectively, and  $\overline{v}_2^{\bullet}$  is the partial molar volume of the solute in solution and  $v_1$  is the molar volume of the solvent. This equation is suitable for both liquid-liquid and gas-liquid systems.

### THE DATA

The extensive data in the literature pertaining to diffusion coefficients as surveyed by others (Dullien, 1972; Hayduk and Buckley, 1972; Reid et al., 1977; Sridhar and Potter, 1977; and Van Greet and Adamson, 1964) was used to test Equation (1). The data used included those for a total of thirteen different solvents and 126 solutes. For the solvent,  $V^{\bullet}$  values were taken from the literature when available or otherwise estimated using a method

0001-1541-79-2100-0716-\$00.75. 0 The American Institute of Chemical Engineers, 1979.

proposed by Fedors (1978) which is simpler to apply and yields results comparable to the method of Lydersen (1955). The corresponding viscosities and densities were taken from the original data sources.  $V^{\bullet}$  values for the solutes were estimated as for the solvents.  $\overline{v}_2{}^0$  for liquid and solid solutes are generally unavailable, and so the value was taken equal to the molar volume of pure solute (in the liquid state). For solutes which are crystalline in the pure state, the volume corresponding to the liquid state was estimated using the method of Fedors (1974). For solutes which are in the gaseous state,  $\overline{v}_2{}^0$  values were taken from the compilation of Hildebrand and Scott (1950).

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 summarizes the results obtained to date. The first column lists the solvent and the second column the number of different solutes whose diffusion coefficient at infinite dilution was determined in each solvent. Column 3 contains the average value of  $D^0_{21}$  ( $V_2^{\bullet} - \overline{v_2}^{\bullet}$ )½, while the next column lists the values of the self-diffusion coefficient for the solvent calculated from the diffusion coefficient of the solute via Equation (1). The last column lists the value of the self-diffusion coefficient of the solvent as obtained from direct experimental measurement. As may be seen, the agreement between the two sets of values is satisfactory.

Data for five solutes in both ethanol and butanol as solvents did not follow Equation (1). For these two solvents, the calculated diffusion coefficients for symmetrically shaped solutes were higher than the equation would predict. These anomalous data are tabulated in Table 2. In column 5 of the table, there is listed the values of  $D^0_{21}$  calculated on the straightforward applica-

Solvent	Number of solutes	$D^0_{21} (V_2^{ullet} - v_2^0)^{1/2}  imes 10^5 \ { m cm}^{7/2} / { m s} \ { m mole}^{1/2}$	$D_{11}  imes 10^5$ , cm <sup>2</sup> /s calc	$D_{11} imes10^5, { m cm}^2/{ m s} \ { m exptl}$	Ref.
Carbon tetrachloride	50	18.9	1.35	1.32	1, 3, 4
Chloroform	8	26.4	2.08	2.58	1, 4
Acetone	3	51.2	4.84	4.44	1, 4
Ethyl acetate	6	37.4	2.78		1, 4
Benzene	7	29.2	2.22	2,22	1, 4
Water	10	15.1	2,20	2.51	1, 4
Methanol	12	21.0	2.46	2.32	1, 3, 4
Ethanol	6	12.0	1.16	1.01	1, 4
Butanol	5	5.9	0.44		$\vec{1}, \vec{4}$
Hexane	15	61.0	3.97	4.21	1, 2, 3, 4
Heptane	1	50.6	3.05	3.12	1, 4
Octane	1	34.0	2.10	$2.37(2.0)^6$	4, 5
Dodecane	2	17.5	0.81	0.81	4, 5

TABLE 2

#### Solvent: ethanol

Solute Benzene Carbon tetrachloride Carbon dioxide	$D^{0}_{21} \times 10^{5} \text{ cm}^{2}/\text{s}$ exptl 1.81 1.50 3.20	$(V_2^{\bullet} - \overline{v_2}{}^0)^{1/2} \ { m cm}^{3/2}/{ m mole}^{1/2} \ 13.10 \ 13.67 \ 7.50$	$D^0_{21}(V_2^{\bullet} - \overline{v_2}^0)^{1/2} \times 10^5 \ \mathrm{cm}^{7/2}/\mathrm{s} \ \mathrm{mole}^{1/2} \ 23.7 \ 20.5 \ 24.0$	$D^0_{21}  imes 10^5 \ { m cm^2/s}$ calc, monomer 0.80 0.76 1.40	$D^{0}_{21}  imes 10^{5}   m cm^{2}/s$ calc, tetramer 1.60 1.50 <b>2.78</b>
		Solve	ent: butanol		
Benzene p-dichlorobenzene	0.99 0.82	13.10 1 <b>5.5</b> 3	12.96 12.13	0.46 0.46	0.92 0.92

tion of Equation (1), while column 6 lists the calculated  $D^0_{21}$  value on the assumption that these two solvents exist as tetramers. These values may be compared with those obtained experimentally. These two sets of data are in good agreement. Association factors for solvents have been employed in the past for purposes of correlating diffusion data for solutes (Wilke and Chang, 1955).

In another paper, it was shown that the self-diffusion coefficient for a remarkably wide span of liquids ranging from the liquid metals to high molecular weight polymers could be expressed by (Fedors, 1978)

$$D_{11} = \frac{4.5 \times 10^{-9} T(V_1^{\circ} - v_1)}{\eta_1 V_1^{\circ 4/3}}$$
 (2)

where  $\eta_1$  is the viscosity of the liquid. Using this equation in combination with Equation (1), we get

$$D_{21}^{0} = \frac{4.5 \times 10^{-9} T (V_{1}^{\bullet} - v_{1})^{3/2}}{\eta_{1} V_{1}^{\bullet 4/3} (V_{2}^{\bullet} - v_{2}^{0})^{1/2}}$$
(3)

from which the limiting infinite dilution diffusion coefficient can be calculated directly.

Here, T is expressed in degrees Kelvin;  $V_1^{\bullet}$  and  $V_2^{\bullet}$  are the volumes at the critical temperature of the solvent and solute, respectively, expressed in cubic centimeters per mole;  $v_1$  and  $v_2^{\bullet}$  are the volumes of the solvent and the solute (at infinite dilution), respectively, expressed in cubic centimeters per mole and  $\eta_1$  is the viscosity of the solvent expressed in poise.

Equation (3) was compared to the Wilke and Chang (1955) diffusion equation for some 52 solutes in eight different solvents using data provided by Reid et al. (1977). The average percent error calculated using  $[D^0_{21}$  (calc)  $-D^0_{21}$  (exptl)  $]/D^0_{21}$  (exptl)  $\times$  100 was 22.3% for Equation (3) and 22.4% using the Wilke Chang expres-

sion. Although the two equations thus provide about the same average deviations, the advantage of Equation (3) is that it is applicable over a much wider range of solvent viscosities.

## LITERATURE CITED

Dullien, F. A. L., Predictive "Equations for Self-Diffusion in Liquids: A Different Approach," AIChE I., 18, 62 (1972).
Fedors, R. F., "A Method for Estimating Both the Solubility Parameters and Molar Volumes of Liquids," Polymer Eng. Sci., 14, 147 (1974).

Fedors, R. F., "A Method To Estimate Critical Volumes," AIChE J., 25, 202 (1979).

----, "A Method of Estimating Self-Diffusion Coefficients in Liquids," *ibid.*, **25**, 200 (1979).

Haydak, W., and W. D. Buckley, "Effect of Molecular Size and Shape on Diffusivity in Dilute Solutions," *Chem. Eng. Sci.*, 27, 1997 (1972).

Hildebrand, J. L., and R. L. Scott, The Solubility of Nonelectrolytes, Rheinhold, New York (1950).

Kudchaker, A. P., G. H. Alani, and B. J. Zwolinski, "The Critical Constants of Organic Substances," *Chem. Rev.*, 68, 659 (1968).

Lydersen, A. L., "Estimation of Critical Properties of Organic Compounds," Univ. Wisconsin Coll. Eng., Eng. Exp. Stn. Rept., Madison, Wisc. (Apr., 1955).

McCall, D. W., D. C. Douglass, and E. W. Anderson, "Diffusion in Ethylene Polymers. IV," J. Chem. Physics, 30, 771 (1959).

Reid, R. C., J. M. Prausnitz, and T. K. Sherwood, *The Properties of Gases and Liquids*, McGraw-Hill, New York (1977).
Sridhar, T., and O. E. Potter, "Predicting Diffusion Coefficients," *AIChE J.*, 23, 590 (1977).

Van Greet, A. L., and A. W. Adamson, "Diffusion in Liquid Hydrocarbon Mixtures," J. Phys. Chem., 68, 238 (1964).

Wilke, C. R., and P. Chang, "Correlation of Diffusion Coefficients in Dilute Solution," AIChE J., 1, 264 (1955).

Manuscript received July 13, 1978; revision received September 1, and accepted September 7, 1978.